ORDER OF THE DIRECTOR

NOW, on this 1st day of December, 2021, Director, Chlora Lindley-Myers, after consideration and review of the market conduct examination report of UnitedHealthcare of the Midwest, Inc. (NAIC #96385) (hereinafter “UHC”), examination report number #332458, prepared and submitted by the Division of Insurance Market Regulation (hereinafter “Division”) pursuant to §374.205.3(3)(a), does hereby adopt such report as filed. After consideration and review of the Stipulation of Settlement (“Stipulation”), relating to the market conduct examination #332458, the examination report, relevant work papers, and any written submissions or rebuttals, the findings and conclusions of such report are deemed to be the Director’s findings and conclusions accompanying this order pursuant to §374.205.3(4). The Director does hereby issue the following orders:

This order, issued pursuant to §374.205.3(4) and §374.046.15. RSMo, is in the public interest.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that UHC and the Division having agreed to the Stipulation, the Director does hereby approve and agree to the Stipulation.

1 All references, unless otherwise noted, are to Revised Statutes of Missouri 2016, as amended, or to the Code of State Regulations, 2020, as amended.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that UHC shall not engage in any of the violations of law and regulations set forth in the Stipulation, shall implement procedures to place it in full compliance with the requirements in the Stipulation and the statutes and regulations of the State of Missouri, and to maintain those corrective actions at all times, and shall fully comply with all terms of the Stipulation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of my office in Jefferson City, Missouri, this 15+ day of December, 2021.

[Signature]
Chlora Lindley-Myers
Director
IN THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND INSURANCE
STATE OF MISSOURI

In Re:

UNITEDHEALTHCARE OF THE MIDWEST, INC. (NAIC #96385)

STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by the Division of Insurance Market Regulation (hereinafter, the “Division”), and UnitedHealthcare of the Midwest, Inc. (hereinafter “UHC”), as follows:

WHEREAS, the Division is a unit of the Missouri Department of Commerce and Insurance (hereinafter, the “Department”), an agency of the State of Missouri, created and established for administering and enforcing all laws in relation to insurance companies doing business in the State of Missouri;

WHEREAS, UHC has been granted a certificate of authority to transact the business of insurance in the State of Missouri;

WHEREAS, the Division conducted a market conduct examination of UHC, examination #332458; and

WHEREAS, based on the market conduct examination of UHC, the Division alleges that:

1. In two instances involving first and second level grievances, UHC upheld its original decision to pay two ambulance claims in a manner that was inconsistent with its procedures and the certificate of coverage in violation of §376.1367 and 20 CSR 400-7.100, and implicating the provisions of §375.1007(3) and §375.1007(4).

---

1 All references, unless otherwise noted, are to Missouri Revised Statutes 2016, as amended or to the Code of State Regulations, 2020, as amended.
2. UHC failed to conduct a second level review of a claim when requested by the enrollee in violation of §376.1385.

3. UHC failed to pay two ambulance claims in accordance with its procedures and the certificate of coverage in violation of §376.1367 and 20 CSR 400-7.100, and implicating the provisions of §375.1007(3) and §375.1007(4).

WHEREAS, the Division and UHC have agreed to resolve the issues raised in the market conduct examination as follows:

A. **Scope of Agreement.** This Stipulation of Settlement (hereinafter, "Stipulation") embodies the entire agreement and understanding of the signatories with respect to the subject matter contained herein. The signatories hereby declare and represent that no promise, inducement or agreement not herein expressed has been made, and acknowledge that the terms and conditions of this agreement are contractual and not a mere recital.

B. **Remedial Action.** UHC agrees to take remedial action bringing it into compliance with the statutes and regulations of Missouri and agrees to maintain such remedial actions at all times, to reasonably ensure that the errors noted in the market conduct examination and in this Stipulation do not recur. Such remedial actions shall consist of the following:

1. UHC agrees to follow its operational procedures and provisions contained in the certificates of coverage for handling and processing of ambulance claims.

2. UHC agrees to take any steps necessary to ensure that the enrollee in the two ambulance claims cited in paragraph 3 above has been reimbursed for any amounts the enrollee paid in excess of any copayment for the two ambulance claims. UHC further agrees to provide documentation to the Division evidencing that the enrollee has been reimbursed by the provider or by UHC for any amounts the enrollee paid in excess of any copayment for the two ambulance claims.
C. **Compliance.** UHC agrees to file documentation with the Division, in a format acceptable to the Division, within 90 days of the entry of a final order of any remedial action taken to implement compliance with the terms of this Stipulation.

D. **Fees.** UHC agrees to pay any reasonable fees expended by the Division in conducting its review of the documentation provided by the Company pursuant to Paragraphs B and C of this Stipulation.

E. **Penalties.** The Division agrees that it will not seek penalties against UHC in connection with market conduct examination no. 332458.

F. **Non-Admission.** Nothing in this Stipulation shall be construed as an admission by UHC, this Stipulation being part of a compromise settlement to resolve disputed factual and legal allegations arising out of the above referenced market conduct examination.

G. **Waivers.** UHC, after being advised by legal counsel, does hereby voluntarily and knowingly waive any and all rights for procedural requirements, including notice and an opportunity for a hearing, and review or appeal by any trial or appellate court, which may have otherwise applied to the market conduct examination no. 332458.

H. **Changes.** No changes to this Stipulation shall be effective unless made in writing and agreed to by representatives of the Division and UHC.

I. **Governing Law.** This Stipulation shall be governed and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Missouri.

J. **Authority.** The signatories below represent, acknowledge and warrant that they are authorized to sign this Stipulation, on behalf of the Division and UHC respectively.

K. **Counterparts.** This Stipulation may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original and all of which taken together shall constitute a single document.
Execution by facsimile or by electronically transmitted signature shall be fully and legally effective and binding.

L. **Effect of Stipulation.** This Stipulation shall not become effective until entry of a Final Order by the Director approving this Stipulation.

M. **Request for an Order.** The signatories below request that the Director issue an Order approving this Stipulation and ordering the relief agreed to in the Stipulation, and consent to the issuance of such Order.

DATED: 11-23-2021

Stewart Freilich
Chief Market Conduct Examiner and Senior Counsel
Division of Insurance Market Regulation

DATED: 11-22-21

Patrick Quinn
Missouri Healthplan CEO
UnitedHealthcare of the Midwest, Inc.
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November 23, 2021

Honorable Chlora Lindley-Myers, Director
Missouri Department of Commerce and Insurance
301 West High Street, Room 530
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Director Lindley-Myers:

In accordance with your market conduct examination warrant, a targeted market conduct examination has been conducted of the specified lines of business and business practices of

UnitedHealthcare of the Midwest, Inc. (NAIC #96385)

hereinafter referred to as UnitedHealthcare or as the Company. This examination was conducted as a desk examination at the offices of the Missouri Department of Commerce and Insurance (DCI).

FOREWORD

This examination report is generally a report by exception. However, failure to criticize specific practices, procedures, products or files does not constitute approval thereof by the DCI.

During this examination, the examiners cited errors considered potential violations made by the Company. Statutory citations were as of the examination period unless otherwise noted.

When used in this report:
- “Company” refers to UnitedHealthcare of the Midwest, Inc.
- “CSR” refers to the Missouri Code of State Regulations
- “DCI” refers to the Missouri Department of Commerce and Insurance
- “Director” refers to the Director of the Missouri Department of Commerce and Insurance
- “NAIC” refers to the National Association of Insurance Commissioners
- “RSMo” refers to the Revised Statutes of Missouri

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

The DCI has authority to conduct this examination pursuant to, but not limited to, §§374.110, 374.190, 374.205, 375.938, and 375.1009, RSMo., conducted in accordance with §374.205.

The purpose of this examination was to determine if the Company complied with Missouri statutes and DCI regulations. The primary period covered by this review is January 1, 2015, through December 31, 2017, unless otherwise noted. Errors found outside of this time period may also be included in the report.
The examination was a targeted examination involving the following lines of business and business functions: Health Insurance in the areas of Complaint Handling, Grievance Procedures, and Claims.

The examination was conducted in accordance with the standards in the NAIC’s 2019 Market Regulation Handbook. As such, the examiners utilized the benchmark error rate guidelines from the NAIC Market Regulation Handbook when conducting reviews that applied a general business practice standard. The NAIC benchmark error rate for claims practices is seven percent (7%) and for other trade practices it is ten percent (10%). Error rates exceeding these benchmarks are presumed to indicate a general business practice. The benchmark error rates were not utilized for reviews not applying the general business practice standard.

In performing this examination, the examiners reviewed only a sample of the Company’s practices, procedures, products and files. Therefore, some noncompliant practices, procedures, products and files may not have been found. As such, this report may not fully reflect all of the practices and procedures of the Company.

COMPANY PROFILE

UnitedHealthcare of the Midwest, Inc. is licensed as a health maintenance organization (HMO) in Missouri pursuant to Chapter 354, RSMo. On February 26, 1985, the Company incorporated as Sanus Health Plan, Inc. in the state of Missouri, and it received a certificate of authority to operate as an HMO on July 23, 1985. The Company began operations on August 1, 1985 under the individual practice association form of HMO. Subsequently, the Company took part in a series of acquisitions, mergers and name changes resulting in the Company remaining as the surviving corporation with its current name.

The Company offers its enrollees a variety of managed care programs and products through contractual arrangements with health care providers. The Company is a wholly owned subsidiary of UnitedHealthcare, Inc. (“UHC”). UHC is a wholly owned subsidiary of United HealthCare Services, Inc. (“UHS”), a management corporation that provides services to the Company under the terms of a management agreement. UHS is a wholly owned subsidiary of UnitedHealth Group Incorporated (“UnitedHealth Group”). UnitedHealth Group is a publicly held company trading on the New York Stock Exchange.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The DCI conducted a targeted market conduct examination of UnitedHealthcare of the Midwest, Inc. The examiners found the following areas of concern:

COMPLAINT HANDLING

• In two complaints involving first and second level grievances, the Company upheld its original decision to pay two ambulance claims in a manner that was contrary to the
GRIEVANCE PROCEDURES
• The Company failed to conduct a second level review of a claim when requested by the enrollee. Reference: §376.1385, RSMo.

CLAIMS
• The Company failed to pay two ambulance claims in accordance with the Company’s own procedures and the certificate of coverage, which resulted in an underpayment of the claims. Reference: §§375.1007(3) and (4) and 376.1367, RSMo, and 20 CSR 400-7.100.

EXAMINATION FINDINGS

I. COMPLAINT HANDLING

The complaint handling portion of the examination provides a review of the Company’s complaint handling practices. The examiners reviewed how the Company handled complaints to ensure it was performing according to its own guidelines and Missouri statutes and regulations.

A. NAIC Complaint Handling Standard 1: All complaints are recorded in the required format on the regulated entity’s complaint register.

Pursuant to §375.936(3), RSMo, and 20 CSR 100-8.040(3)(D), insurance companies are required to maintain a log or register of all written complaints received for the last three years. The log or register must include all Missouri complaints, including those sent to the DCI and those sent directly to the Company. The examiners requested and reviewed the Company’s complaint log as to content and format.

The Company’s complaint log contained eight complaint records of complaints sent directly to the Company and one complaint sent to the DCI. To verify the accuracy of the complaint log as to DCI complaints, the examiners reviewed the DCI complaint system and noted only the one complaint.

The examiners found no errors in this review.

B. NAIC Complaint Handling Standard 2: The regulated entity has adequate complaint handling procedures in place and communicates such procedures to policyholders.

To test for this standard, the examiners requested a copy of the Company’s complaint handling procedure manual and reviewed it. Examiners also reviewed the Company’s certificates of coverage to determine if the documents communicate clear procedures on how to file a complaint.

The examiners found no errors in this review.
The grievance procedures portion of the examination is designed to evaluate how well the Company handles grievances. The Missouri definition of a “grievance” is set forth in §376.1350(17), RSMo.

A. NAIC Health Examination Grievance Procedure Standard 1: The health carrier treats as a grievance any written complaint, or any oral complaint that involves an urgent care request, submitted by or on behalf of a covered person regarding: 1) the availability, delivery or quality of health care services, including a complaint regarding an adverse determination made pursuant to utilization review; 2) claims payment, handling or reimbursement for health care services; or 3) matters pertaining to the contractual relationship between a covered person and the health carrier.

To test for this standard, the examiners reviewed the nine complaint files requested in Complaint Handling Standard 3 above to assess whether the Company is correctly identifying and treating as grievances those complaints that meet the definition in §376.1350(17), RSMo.

The examiners found no errors in this review.
To test for this standard, the examiners requested the Company provide its grievance log in conjunction with the complaint log requested in Complaint Handling Standard 1 above. Since the Company maintains a consolidated log (i.e., all complaints, including complaints that constitute grievances, are maintained in the same log), the examiners reviewed the complaint log to assess whether it meets the standards in §§376.1375 and 354.445, RSMo, and 20 CSR 400-7.110.

The examiners found no errors in this review.

C. NAIC Health Examination Grievance Procedure Standard 3: A health carrier has implemented grievance procedures, disclosed the procedures to covered persons, in compliance with applicable statutes, rules and regulations, and files with the commissioner a copy of its grievance procedures, including all forms used to process a grievance.

To test for this standard, the examiners requested and reviewed the Company’s procedures specific to grievances in conjunction with the request for complaint handling procedures in Complaint Handling Standard 2 above. In addition, the examiners verified that the Company filed its grievance procedures with the DCI and that the Company informs enrollees of those procedures. The examiners also reviewed the Company’s certificates of coverage to determine if the documents communicate clear procedures on how to file a grievance.

The examiners found no errors in this review.

D. NAIC Health Examination Grievance Procedure Standard 4: The health carrier has procedures for and conducts first level reviews of grievances involving an adverse determination in compliance with applicable statutes, rules and regulations.

To test for this standard, the examiners identified four of the nine complaint files requested in Complaint Handling Standard 3 above as first level reviews of grievances involving an adverse determination. The examiners reviewed all four of these files to see if they were handled in accordance with the requirements of §376.1382, RSMo, and the Company’s written procedures.

The examiners found no errors in this review.

E. NAIC Health Examination Grievance Procedure Standard 5: The health carrier has procedures for and conducts standard reviews of grievances not involving an adverse determination in compliance with applicable statutes, rules and regulations.

To test for this standard, the examiners identified two of the nine complaint files requested in Complaint Handling Standard 3 above as first level reviews of grievances not involving an adverse determination. The examiners reviewed both of these files to see if they were handled in accordance with the requirements of §376.1382, RSMo, and the Company’s written procedures. Although the examiners found errors in the resolution of these two first level
reviews as noted in Complaint Handling Standard 3 above, the examiners found no errors in
the procedural handling.

F. NAIC Health Examination Grievance Procedure Standard 6: The health carrier has
procedures for voluntary reviews of grievances and conducts voluntary reviews of
grievances in compliance with applicable statutes, rules and regulations.

To test for this standard, the examiners identified two of the nine complaint files requested in
Complaint Handling Standard 3 above as involving second level reviews of grievances
included in files with first level reviews. The examiners also identified one complaint file
involving a first level review where a second level review appeared to have been requested.
The examiners reviewed these files to see if they were handled in accordance with the
requirements of §376.1385, RSMo, and the Company’s written procedures. The examiners
found an error in the resolution of one of the second level reviews as noted in Complaint
Handling Standard 3 above and also noted the following error applicable to this standard.

Finding 1: The Company failed to conduct a second level review of a claim when requested by
the enrollee.

Reference: §376.1385, RSMo.

G. NAIC Health Examination Grievance Procedure Standard 7: The health carrier has
procedures for and conducts expedited reviews of urgent care requests of grievances
involving an adverse determination in compliance with applicable statutes, rules and
regulations.

To test for this standard, the examiners identified two of the nine complaint files requested in
Complaint Handling Standard 3 above as grievances involving expedited reviews of urgent
care requests. The examiners reviewed these files to see if they were handled in accordance
with the requirements of §376.1389, RSMo, and the Company’s written procedures.
The examiners found no errors in this review.

III. CLAIMS

The claims portion of the examination provides a review of the Company’s compliance with
Missouri statutes and regulations regarding claims handling practices such as the timeliness of
handling, accuracy of payment, adherence to contract provisions, and compliance with Missouri
statutes and regulations.

NAIC Claims Examination Standard 6: Claims are properly handled in accordance with
policy provisions and applicable statutes (including HIPAA), rules and regulations.

Due to the Company’s improper handling of the complaints/grievances referenced in Complaint
Handling Standard 3 above, the examiners decided a review of ambulance claims would be
appropriate. Accordingly, the examiners requested information on the number of ambulance
claims submitted during the scope of the examination. The Company responded that it had only received 11 claims within the period, so examiners requested all 11 ambulance claim files to review for compliance with the standards for claim handling in §375.1007, RSMo, 20 CSR 100-1.020, and 20 CSR 100-1.050

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field Size</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of Sample</td>
<td>Census</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Errors</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error Ratio</td>
<td>18.18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The examiners found the following errors in this review.

**Finding 1:** The Company failed to pay two ambulance claims in accordance with the Company’s own procedures and the certificate of coverage, which resulted in an underpayment of the claims.

Reference: §§375.1007(3) and (4) and 376.1367, RSMo and 20 CSR 400-7.100.

**VI. CRITICISMS AND FORMAL REQUESTS TIME STUDY**

This study is based upon the time required by the Company to provide the examiners with the requested material or to respond to criticisms. Missouri statutes and regulations require companies to respond to criticisms and formal requests within 10 calendar days. In the event an extension of time was requested by the Company and granted by the examiners, the response was deemed timely if it was received within the subsequent time frame. If the response was not received within the allotted time, the response was not considered timely.

**A. Criticism Time Study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Calendar Days to Respond</th>
<th>Number of Criticisms</th>
<th>Percentage of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 to 10 days</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 10 days with extension</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 10 days without extension or after extension due date</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The examiners found no errors in this review.
B. Formal Request Time Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Calendar Days to Respond</th>
<th>Number of Requests</th>
<th>Percentage of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 to 10 days</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 10 days with extension</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 10 days without extension or after extension due date</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>6</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The examiners found no errors in this review.
EXAMINATION REPORT SUBMISSION

Attached hereto is the Division of Insurance Market Regulation’s Final Report of the examination of UnitedHealthcare of the Midwest, Inc. (NAIC #96385), Examination Number 332458, MATS #MO-HICKSS1-125. This examination was conducted by John Korte, CIE, MCM, FLMI, AIRC, Examiner-In-Charge, Kembra Springs, Brad Gerling, and Aubrey Snyder, CIE, CPC. The findings in the Final Report were extracted from the Market Conduct Examiner’s Draft Report, dated August 26, 2021. Any changes from the text of the Market Conduct Examiner’s Draft Report reflected in this Final Report were made by the Chief Market Conduct Examiner or with the Chief Market Conduct Examiner’s approval. This Final Report has been reviewed and approved by the undersigned.

11-23-2021
Date

[Signature]
Stewart Freilich
Chief Market Conduct Examiner