Before the
Administrative Hearing Commission
State of Missouri

MICHAEL F. RAY,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	No. 06-0978 DI
	)	
DIRECTOR OF INSURANCE,	)	060330172C
	)	
Respondent.	)	

CONSENT ORDER

The applicant for licensure filed a complaint. Section 621.120, RSMo 2000, gives us jurisdiction.

On March 1, 2007, the parties filed a "Stipulation of Facts and Consent to Finding of Cause for Discipline and Waiver of Hearing." Our review of the document shows that the parties have stipulated to certain facts and waived their right to a hearing before us. Because the parties have agreed to these facts, we incorporate them into this order and adopt them as stipulated. ***Buckner v. Buckner***, 912 S.W.2d 65,70 (Mo. App., W.D. 1995). We conclude that the licensee is subject to discipline under § 375.141.1(2), (7), (8) and (10), RSMo Supp. 2001. We incorporate the parties' proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law into this Consent Order. We certify the record to the licensing agency under § 621.110, RSMo 2000.

No statute authorizes us to determine whether the agency has complied with the provisions of § 621.045.3, RSMo Supp. 2006. This is consistent with the holding that we have no role in superintending agency compliance with statutory procedures. ***Missouri Health Facilities Review Comm. v. Administrative Hearing Comm'n,*** 700 S.W.2d 445, 450 (Mo. banc 1985). Therefore, we do not determine whether the agency complied with such procedures.

SO ORDERED on March 5, 2007.

Terry M. Jarrett

TERRY M. JARRETT
Commissioner